ALL I WANT FOR THE HOLIDAYS IS MORE SEROTONIN:

INDIVIDUALIZING ANTIDEPRESSANT THERAPY

Tracy Chin PharmD, BSc Pharm, ACPR CSHP virtual Event Nov 30, 2021 Tracy.t.chin@albertahealthservices.ca



HOUSEKEEPING

- Zoom polls
- Disclosure:
 - I have no current or past relationships with commercial entities
 - I have received a speaker's fee (gift card) from CSHP-AB Branch for this learning activity
 - This program has received no financial or in-kind support from any commercial or other organization (other than speaker's fee from CSHP-AB Branch)

REFLECTIVE QUESTIONS

- What is your current comfort level in choosing an antidepressant for your patients with major depressive disorder?
 - I -Very uncomfortable. what is an antidepressant? Really don't know what I'm doing
 - 2 Mostly Uncomfortable
 - 3 Somewhat comfortable. Can figure out an antidepressant choice but requires guidance
 - 4 Mostly comfortable Can think of an Antidepressant but need to chat it over with a pro
 - 5-Very comfortable. I'm a pro.
- Reflecting on the above question, what are some factors that make choosing or adjusting an antidepressants uncomfortable or less comfortable?
 - If you feel comfortable please type answer in zoom chat box



LEARNING OBJECTIVES

By the end of this presentation:

- Describe common Depression assessment instruments and use of these instruments in practice
- 2) Summarize most recent treatment guidelines alongside pragmatic and network meta-analysis data of unipolar depression treatment with antidepressants
- 3) Establish a role of individualized antidepressant therapy based on shared decision making with patients
- 4) Propose a preliminary pilot algorithm to assist with individualizing antidepressant therapy

MAJOR DEPRESSIVE DISORDER (MDD) DSM 5



DEPRESSION ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENTS

| Outcome | Clinician-Rated | Patient-Rated |
|--------------------|---|--|
| Symptoms | Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAM-D) Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS) Inventory for Depressive Symptomatology (IDS) | Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) Quick Inventory for Depressive symptomatology, self Rated (QIDS-SR) Clinically Useful Depression Outcome Scale (CUDOS) Beck's Depression Inventory (BDI) |
| Functioning | Multidimensional Scale of Independent Functioning (MSIF) WHO Disability Assessment Scale (WHO-DAS) Social and Occupational Functioning Assessment Scale (SOFAS) | Sheehan Disability Scale (SDS) WHO-DAS, self rated Lam Employment Absence and Productivity Scale (LEAPS) |
| Side Effects | UKU Side Effect Rating Scale | Frequency, Intensity and Burden of Side Effects Rating (FIBSER) |
| Quality of Life | Quality of Life Interview (QOLI) | Quality of Life, Enjoyment and Satisfaction Questionnaire (QLESQ) EuroQoL-SD (EQ-5D) |

PHQ-9

| Over the last 2 weeks , how often have you been bothered by any of the following problems? | | | | | |
|---|--------------|------------|---------------|-----------|--|
| | Not at | Several | More than | Nearly | |
| | all | days | half the days | every day | |
| I. Little interest or pleasure in doing things | 0 | I | 2 | 3 | |
| 2. Feeling down, depressed, or hopeless | 0 | I | 2 | 3 | |
| 3. Trouble falling or staying asleep, or sleeping too much | 0 | I | 2 | 3 | |
| 4. Feeling tired or having little energy | 0 | I | 2 | 3 | |
| 5. Poor appetite or overeating | 0 | I | 2 | 3 | |
| 6. Feeling bad about yourself—or that you are a failure or have let yourself or your fam | nily 0 | I | 2 | 3 | |
| down | | | | | |
| 7. Trouble concentrating on things, such as reading the newspaper or watching televisio | on O | I | 2 | 3 | |
| 8. Moving or speaking so slowly that other people could have noticed? Or the opposite | e— 0 | I | 2 | 3 | |
| being so fidgety or restless that you have been moving around a lot more than usual | | | | | |
| 9. Thoughts that you would be better off dead or of hurting yourself in some way | 0 | I | 2 | 3 | |
| Total score | e = | + | + | + | |
| Score interpretation5-9 = Mild10-14=Moderate15 - 19 | Moderately S | evere | 20 – 27 S | evere | |
| If you checked off any problems, how difficult have these problems Not difficult at Sor | mewhat | Very diffi | cult Ex | tremely | |
| made it for you to do your work, take care of things at home, or all diff | ficult | | dif | ficult | |
| get along with other people? | | | | | |

GOALS OF DEPRESSION TREATMENT

| Phase | Duration | Goals | Activities |
|-------------|---------------------------|---|--|
| Acute | 8-12 weeks | Remission of symptoms Restoration of functioning | Establish therapeutic alliance Educate and support self- management Select and deliver evidence-based treatment(s) Monitor progress |
| Maintenance | 6-24 months, or longer | Return to full functioning and quality of life Prevention of recurrence | Educate and support self- management Rehabilitate Treat comorbidities Monitor for recurrence |

CANMAT 2016 DEPRESSION GUIDELINES





CANMAT DEPRESSION GUIDELINES: PHARMACOTHERAPY TREATMENT

| First-Line (Level I evidence) | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Class | Daily Dose | | | | |
| | Citalopram | 20–40 mg | | | |
| | Escitalopram | 10–20 mg | | | |
| | Fluoxetine | 20–60 mg | | | |
| SSRI | Fluvoxamine | 100–300 mg | | | |
| | Paroxetine | IR: 20–50 mg CR: 25 – 62.5mg | | | |
| | Sertraline | 50–200 mg | | | |
| | Desvenlafaxine | 50–100 mg | | | |
| SNRI | Duloxetine | 60 mg | | | |
| | Venlafaxine | 75–225 mg | | | |
| NDRI | Bupropion | 150–300 mg | | | |
| Other | Mirtazapine | 15–45 mg | | | |
| Other | Vortioxetine | 10–20 mg | | | |
| | Agomelatine | 25–50 mg | | | |
| Not available in Canada | Mianserin | 60–120 mg | | | |
| Canada | Milnacipran | 100 mg | | | |

CIPRIANI ET AL, 2018: NETWORK META-ANALYSIS

| Trial | 522 double-blind, parallel, published and unpublished RCTs between 1979 and 2016 | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| Ρ | Acute treatment of adults of unipolar major depressive disorder Baseline: Mean age 44 years/ 62% Women Moderate to Severe depression (mean HAMD-17 = 25.3 (severe) in 89% studies | | | | |
| I | 21 antidepressants ; Median trial duration 8 weeks (4-12 weeks) | | | | |
| С | Clomipramine, amitriptyline, placebo and each other | | | | |
| Ο | Primary Efficacy (response rate measured by the total number of patients who had a reduction of ≥50% of the total score on a standardised observer-rating scale for depression) Acceptability (treatment discontinuation measured by the proportion of patients who withdrew for any reason) Secondary outcome: Endpoint depression score | | | | |
| Limitations and Comments | Overall quality of data not greatVarious scales of efficacy | • 78% funded by industry | | | |

EFFICACY

| First-line Antidepressant | Response OR (95%Crl) | NNT |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|-----|
| paroxetine | 1.75 (1.61-1.90) | 4 |
| fluvoxamine | 1.69 (1.41-2.02) | 5 |
| escitalopram | 1.68 (1.50-1.87) | 5 |
| sertraline | 1.67 (1.49-1.87) | 5 |
| citalopram | 1.52 (1.33-1.74) | 7 |
| fluoxetine | 1.52 (1.40-1.66) | 7 |
| duloxetine | 1.85 (1.66-2.07) | 4 |
| venlafaxine | 1.78 (1.61-1.96) | 4 |
| desvenlafaxine | 1.49 (1.24-1.79) | 7 |
| bupropion | 1.58 (1.35-1.86) | 6 |
| mirtazapine | 1.89 (1.64-2.20) | 3 |
| vortioxetine | 1.66 (1.45-1.92) | 5 |



Cipriani 2018

ACCEPTABILITY

| First-line | Drop out OR | |
|-------------------|------------------|-----|
| Antidepressant | (95%Crl) | NNH |
| paroxetine | 0.95 (0.87-1.03) | - |
| fluvoxamine | 1.10 (0.01-1.33) | - |
| escitalopram | 0.90 (0.80-1.02) | - |
| sertraline | 0.96 (0.85-1.08) | - |
| citalopram | 0.94 (0.80-1.09) | - |
| fluoxetine | 0.88 (0.80-0.96) | 43 |
| duloxetine | 1.09 (0.96-1.23) | - |
| venlafaxine | 1.04 (0.93-1.15) | - |
| desvenlafaxine | 1.08 (0.88-1.33) | - |
| bupropion | 0.96 (0.81-1.14) | - |
| mirtazapine | 0.99 (0.85-1.15) | - |
| vortioxetine | 1.01 (0.86-1.19) | - |

Acceptability (dropout rate) Agomelatine 0.84 (0.72-0.97) Fluoxetine 0.88 (0.80-0.96) Escitalopram 0.90(0.80-1.02)Nefazodone 0.93 (0.72-1.19) Citalopram 0.94 (0.80-1.09) Amitriptyline 0.95 (0.83-1.08) Paroxetine 0.95 (0.87-1.03) Milnacipran 0.95 (0.73-1.26) Sertraline 0.96 (0.85-1.08) **Bupropion** 0.96 (0.81-1.14) 0.99 (0.85-1.15) Mirtazapine Vortioxetine 1.01 (0.86-1.19) Venlafaxine 1.04 (0.93-1.15) Desvenlafaxine 1.08 (0.88-1.33) Duloxetine 1.09 (0.96-1.23) Fluvoxamine 1.10 (0.91-1.33) Vilazodone 1.14 (0.88-1.47) Trazodone 1.15 (0.93-1.42) Reboxetine 1.16 (0.96-1.40) Levomilnacipran 1.19 (0.93-1.53) Clomipramine 1.30 (1.01-1.68) 2.5 0.5 1.0 Favours placebo Favours active drug

Cipriani 2018

LEWIS ET AL, 2019 – PRAGMATIC RCT

| Trial | PANDA trial - RCT, double-blind, multicenter (England) |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Ρ | GP uncertain of antidepressant benefit (diagnostic uncertainty) Adult patients agreeable to try antidepressant Baseline (n=653): mean age: 39.7 years/ 59% female/ 66% employed/ 69% 'A-level or higher' 54% MDD/ 46% GAD → 30% MDD+GAD CIS-R 20-49: 351(54%) severe symptoms |
| Ι | Sertraline 50 to 150mg daily, 12 weeks duration |
| С | Placebo |
| Ο | Primary Outcome: PHQ-9 total score difference in 6 weeks: <u>0.96 (0.86 to 1.07) p=0.11</u> Secondary Outcomes: PHQ-9 total score differences @12 weeks: 0.87 (95% CI 0.79 to 0.97)* GAD-7 total score differences: 0.83 (0.75 to 0.91) p <0.0001* and improved overtime (p=0.0075) SF-12 mental health QoL differences: 2.41 (1.14 to 3.69) p =0.0002* and no difference over time Self-reported improvement difference: 1.96 (1.45-2.63) p <0.0001* and no difference over time |
| Limitations and Comments | 99 (15%) patients did not meet depression or anxiety criteria % mild depression patients (PHQ-9 <10): Sertraline 114 (43%) vs PBO 101(36%) not powered to study effect of severity and duration of symptoms on outcomes |

KATO ET AL, 2021: NETWORK META-ANALYSIS

| Trial | 40 trials - Double Blind, Placebo-controlled monotherapy RCTs with duration of \geq 12 weeks | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Р | Adult unipolar major depressive disorder who were stable and responded to active drug 'enrichment design' studies only | | | |
| I | 31 Antidepressants | | | |
| С | Placebo | | | |
| Ο | Primary Outcome: Overall Difference in Relapse Rate AD 20.9% vs. PBO 39.7% RD=0.19 (95%CI 0.16-0.22, p< 0.00001) ARR: 0.188 NNT=6 Subgroup: Difference in Relapse rates and study duration: 6-months (mean 25 weeks) diff= 18.0% (OR 0.41, p< 0.00001) 1 year (mean 56 weeks) diff= 19.9% (OR 0.35, p< 0.00001) Difference in Relapse Rate and duration of continuous treatment AFTER remission: I month (mean 0.2 weeks) diff= 19.1% (OR 0.38, p< 0.00001) 6 months (mean 27 weeks) Diff= 17.5% (OR 0.40, p< 0.00001) | | | |
| Limitations and Comments | I²= 38 to 51% (moderate heterogeneity) Did not look at whether or not severity correlates with relapse rate Varying scales and definition of relapse in studies | | | |

PLACEBO EFFECT

- 35-40% mean Placebo response rate in Antidepressant trials
 - Stable over 25 years of antidepressant trials
 - Large variability in effect (0 to 70%) in 252 studies
- 25% mean placebo drop-out rate in Antidepressants trials
- Lower Response Rate (Risk Ratio 0.87; 95% CI 0.83, 0.92) and higher allcause dropout rate (1.19; 1.08, 1.31) in Placebo-Controlled vs Head-to-Head trials for same antidepressant

Fukrukawa 2016 Li 2019 Salatani 2018

BOTTOM LINE FROM MOST RECENT EVIDENCE

- Most if not all studies included were poorly executed, biased, based on outcomes of questionable clinical relevance
- Moderate to Severe MDD:
 - Acute treatment: Antidepressants reduce ≥50% depressive symptoms (NNT 4-7)
 - Relapse data: Antidepressants reduce relapse (~20%, NNT 6) in those who have achieved remission for up to 1 year when compared to placebo
- Superiority among antidepressants is controversial
- Significant placebo response rate
- Role for individualizing antidepressant therapy based on patient factors?
 Or in some cases no antidepressant therapy

INDIVIDUALIZING ANTIDEPRESSANT OPTIONS

| Patient Factors | Medication Factors |
|--|---|
| Clinical features and dimensions | Comparative Efficacy |
| Comorbid Conditions | Comparative Tolerability |
| Response and side effects during previous use of antidepressants | Potential Interactions with other medications |
| Patient preference | Simplicity of use |
| | Cost and availability |

SHARED DECISION MAKING

MAYO CLINIC

- Depression Medication Choice (DMC) cluster randomized trial in primary care practices
 - Adults with moderate to severe depression considering treatment with an antidepressant
 - Antidepressant Treatment DMC decision aid vs Usual Care
 - PATIENTS with DMC:
 - improved decisional comfort (DMC, 80% vs UC, 75%; P = .02) increased knowledge (DMC, 65% vs UC, 56%; P = .03) improved involvement (DMC, 47% vs UC, 33%; P<.001).
 - CLINICIANS with DMC:
 - Improved decisional comfort (DMC, 80% vs UC, 68%; P < .001) improved satisfaction (RR, 1.64; P = .02)

https://depressiondecisionaid.mayoclinic.org/

Depression Medication Choice

ውወ Decision Aid LOSS 1 TO 5 LBS GAIN 1 TO 5 LBS WEIGHT SLEEP SEX Citalopram + **O O** 0 Celexa® Escitalopram +00 0 .exapro® Fluoxetine 01 0 • **SSRIs** rozac® Fluvoxamine uvox® Paroxetine + O O 0 axil® Sertraline + O 0 oloft® Desvenlafaxine 0 ristia® **SNRIS** Duloxetine 0 Cymbalta® Venlafaxine • 0 Rupropion

PILOT ANTIDEPRESSANT DECISION-SUPPORT TOOL

| | | Depress | sion Specifiers ³⁰ | Cognitive Dysfunction ³⁰ | Anxi | ious distress ³⁰ | Somatic syr | nptoms ³⁰ |
|--------------|------------------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| lities | No Depression specifiers | | Sleep Disturbances ³⁰ • mirtazapine | Vortioxetine SSRIs (vs Placebo) Duloxetine Du | • Paroxetine • Duloxetine • Sertraline | • Escitalopram • Venlafaxine | Fatigue Duloxetine Bupropion SSRIs (vs Placebo) | Pain • duloxetine • Venlafaxine • desvenlafaxine |
| o Comorbio | ANY first line agent ³⁰ | | SSRI: citalopram, escitalo SNRI: de Other: I | opram, fluoxetine, fluvoxamine, pa svenlafaxine, duloxetine, venlafax Bupropion, mirtazapine, vortioxet | aroxetine, sertraline ine | Choic intera | e based on adverse effe | ects, cost, drug derations |
| ž | Central Nervous | moking Cessation Bupropion up to 300mg daily; combine with NRT | cohol use disorder ⁸ .bstinence: sertraline up to 00mg daily + Naltrexone INT=4. no change in mood ⁴⁰ | ADHD •Bupropion up to 450mg daily SMD -0.5 in ADHD scores ⁵² | Pain Chronic Low Back Pain | Duloxetine 60-120mg d inconsistent (ADR NNH [*] | laily ≥30% ↓ pain *6-12) ^{16,34} | Migraine Prophylaxis •Venlafaxine up to 150mg daily small |
| | System | (NNT=8) ⁴¹ •M da D | Nood: mirtazapine 45mg aily 50% reduction in HAM- and HAM-A scores ⁵⁵ | •Duloxetine up to 60mg daily improvement in ADHD scores (limited data) ⁹ | Osteoarthritis | Venlafaxine 150 - 225m and knee OA in 9/18 pa Duloxetine 60 -120mg o only NNT=7 (ADR NNH: | ng ↓ 30% pain in hip tients ⁴⁹ daily ↓ knee OA pain =17) ^{13,16,34} | RCT equivalent to TCA ^{10,39} •Duloxetine 60 to 120mg daily↓ number of |
| present | Cardiovascular | leart Failure Sertraline safe. No significant mood benefit ³⁷ | ACS •Escitalopram 5 -20mg dai over 8 years ²⁹ •Sertraline Safe. No signific underpowered for mood of | ly↓MACE (MI) post ACS NNT=8 cant CV benefits outcomes ²² | Diabetic Peripheral Neuropathy (PN) | Duloxetine 40-120mg d (ADR NNH@60mg =20; Venlafaxine 150-225mg (limited data) ^{18,32,44} Desvenlafaxine 200-400 depression dose) ³² | laily↓pain NNT=6 NNH@120mg=10) ^{32,34} g daily↓pain NNT=5 Omg daily (EXCEEDS | headache days (limited data) ⁵⁶ |
| omorbidities | Gastrointestinal | iver disease Hep C + Interferon: SSRIs (citalopram up to 20mg daily; weak data) ³¹ | IBS (constipation and abde • Paroxetine, fluoxetine, global IBS symptoms over abdominal pain ³⁰ | ominal pain) citalopram NNT=5 -6 for↓ r 12 weeks, Non-Significant for | Fibromyalgia | Mirtazapine to 30mg da ↓ pain by ≥30% NNT=7-4 Duloxetine 60 -120mg da ADR NNH@60mg = 18 ; SSRI (citalopram, fluoxe pain NNT=10 (95% CL5 | aily. Conflicting data. 8 vs ADR NNH=9 ^{32,53} daily ↓pain NNT=8 vs NNH@120mg=9 ^{32,34} etine, paroxetine) ↓ | |
| ŭ | Genitourinary | oss of Libido in women Bupropion 150mg daily OR=3.2 (2.1–6.3) 'meaningful mprovement'(NNT 2) ⁴⁵ | Stress incontinence •Duloxetine QoL improve ↓ no. of episodes (ADR N | ment (SMD –0.13) NNT=8 and NH =7) ^{21,35} | Chemotherapy -Induced PN | Venlafaxine - limited be Duloxetine 60mg daily placebo) (NNT=5) ⁴⁷ | enefit (no RCT) ³² L pain (-0.73 vs | |
| | Renal Renal | Non-Dialysis CKD Sertraline to 200mg daily. no mood benefit. f GI symptoms (NNH=8- 9) ²⁴ | Dialysis CKD •Sertraline to 200mg daily. 4 QIDS-C at 12 weeks vs.CBT (-1.84, p=0.035) ³⁶ |)-associated Pruritis ⁷ traline (58% ↓pruritis score from ere to mild) ⁷ tazapine 15mg daily(Limited data) ^{7,20} | Adult ba | Depression An Ised on Efficac Tracy Chi | itidepressant y and Comor n, PharmD, ACPR | Treatment bidities |

Considerations in Antidepressant Decision Making

Tracy Chin, PharmD, ACPR

| | | CTP IIIIIbitors |
|---|--|--|
| | Adverse Effects 12,46 | •CYP 2D6: <i>strong</i> : fluoxetine, paroxetine moderate: bupropion, duloxetine, •2D6: vortioxeti |
| Headache ⁵¹ | Most likely: bupropion, escitalopram Likely: SSRIs, SNRIs, vortioxetine Least likely: mirtazapine | sertraline (>100mg) •CYP3A4: moderate fluvoxamine, fluoxetine •CYP1A2: strong fluvoxamine •1A2: duloxetin •3A4: mirtazapi |
| Dysrhythmia ⁴³ and Blood Pressure ¹¹ | •QTc prolongation: citalopram, escitalopram, mirtazapine (caution if baseline QTc >450ms) •BP/HR changes: bupropion, SNRIs neutral: SSRI, vortioxetine, mirtazapine | •CYP2C19: strong: fluvoxamine moderate: fluoxetine Monitor: comb |
| Sedation | Most Sedating: mirtazapine (esp. at low doses) Possibly sedating: SSRI, SNRI, vortioxetine Activating: bupropion | Administration ² •NG/OG tubes: duloxetine, venlafaxine beads can clog smaller enteral tubes •ODT available: escitalopram, mirtazapine |
| GI Disturbances ³⁸ | Nausea/Vomiting: duloxetine, vortioxetine >, SNRIs>SSRIs> mirtazapine Constipation: SNRIs, paroxetine, sertraline > bupropion, vortioxetine > SSRIs Anorexia: SNRIs> SSRIs, vortioxetine | Liquid: fluoxetine, venlafaxine (compound – div dose BID) CRUSH-able: escitalopram, sertraline (open capsule), paroxetine IR, fluvoxamine, citalopram, fluoxetine, mirtazapine (throat numbness) DO NOT CRUSH: desvenlafaxine, bupropion ER/SR, parovetine CR, dulovatine heads. |
| Sexual Dysfunction ^{5,14,27,42,50} | Most likely: SSRI/ SNRIs (30-70%; all aspects) Likely: mirtazapine, vortioxetine Least likely: bupropion (may improve SSRI-induced dysfunction; SMD 1.60 vs placebo)⁴ | Cost ¹ |
| Seizure risk ^{3,48} | •Most likely: bupropion (1/1000; dose-related) •Unlikely : SNRI, SSRI, mirtazapine. vortioxetine at therapeutic doses | Not covered**by Govt of Alberta plans•DesvenlafaxineCovered•All SSRIs•SNRI: duloxetine, |
| ↑ Weight ^{17,54} | Most likely: mirtazapine (+0.4 to +2.4 kg) citalopram (+0.1 to +7.1kg) Less Likely to Likely : SSRI , SNRI, vortioxetine Least likely: bupropion (-2.4kg to -0.4kg) | •Levomilnacipran •Vilazodone •Vilazodone venlafaxine •Other: mirtazapine, bupropion, Vortioxetine |
| Withdrawal Symptoms ^{15,25} | Most likely: paroxetine, venlafaxine, desvenlafaxine Likely: SNRI and SSRI Least likely: fluoxetine/ vortioxetine(?) Potentially None: mirtazapine, bupropion | • Patient's Preference • Previous Antidepressant Trials |

Drug Interactions^{26,28}

CYP substrates

- ne, venlafaxine, fluvoxamine, fluoxetine, mirtazapine, paroxetine
- m, escitalopram
 - , fluvoxamine

Serotonin Syndrome:

ning antidepressants with opioids, dextromethorphan, lithium, etc

Pharmacokinetics

Absorption^{23,33}

- Structural GI changes (gastric bypass/short gut/ostomy)
- Absorption erratic with ER medications (bupropion ER, duloxetine, desvenlafaxine, venlafaxine)
- Initiation: Avoid \rightarrow chose non-ER antidepressants
- Stabilized: Monitor and change if necessary

Renal Dosing⁶:

Max Starting dose eGFR < 60 • Bupropion 150mg/d (max daily dose) • Desvenlafaxine 50mg Q2days (eGFR<30 max daily:50mg Q2d) • Paroxetine 10mg/day • Escitalopram 10mg/day < 30 • Sertraline 50mg/day (eGFR<15: 25mg/day) • Duloxetine 30mg/day

- Venlafaxine 112.5mg/day (max daily dose)
- Mirtazapine 15mg/day (max daily dose)

Hepatic Dosing¹⁹:

- •Many agents ↑ T1/2 in hepatic impairment. Caution in dosing and reductions may be necessary
- •Avoid: duloxetine, sertraline(?) in hepatic impairment

MEET PL



- 59 year old female seen at your multidisciplinary primary care clinic
- Chief Complaint: new Major Depressive Disorder. moderate severity. Wants to trial an antidepressant.
- **Medical Hx:** HTN, type I diabetes since she was 16 years of age and mostly poorly controlled until recently, dyslipidemia, diabetic peripheral neuropathy-bothersome
- **Social Hx:** lost her job recently and on Alberta works
- Medications at home: rosuvastatin 5mg daily, ramipril 5mg daily, now on insulin glargine 15 units SC daily and sliding scale lispro based on carb counting, vitamin D 1000 units daily, acetaminophen 500mg PRN
- Labs and investigations: all within normal limits. Most current AIc: 6.4%
- **ROS**: all within normal limits
- **Compliance:** no concerns, uses dosettes, and fills medications regularly

PL CONTINUED



- Have you tried any antidepressants before?
 - Yes but it wasn't for depression. My doctor gave me something for my nerve pain. I think it was called amtrip.. Err or something like that. I remember I didn't like the side effects. It made me too groggy.
- What side effects are you most worried about?
 - I'm worried about medications that would cause me to gain weight or affect my sugars. I've worked really hard over the years to finally get my sugars under better control.
- Are costs a concern for you?
 - Yes, I lost my job in the last year and now on Alberta Works income support

WHICH ANTIDEPRESSANT WOULD YOU PRESCRIBE FOR PL?



Picture from https://www.nps.org.au/consumers/antidepressants-10-things-you-should-know

| | | Depress | sion Specifiers ³⁰ | Cognitive Dysfunction ³⁰ | Anx | ious distress ³⁰ | Somatic sy | mptoms ³⁰ |
|---------------|------------------------------------|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|
| dities | No Depression specifiers | 1 | Sleep Disturbances ³⁰ • mirtazapine | Vortioxetine SSRIs (vs Placebo) Duloxetine Duloxetine Duloxetine Bupropion | ParoxetineDuloxetineSertraline | • Escitalopram • Venlafaxine | Fatigue Duloxetine Bupropion SSRIs (vs Placebo) | Pain • duloxetine • Venlafaxine • desvenlafaxine |
| lo Comorbi | ANY first line agent ³⁰ | | SSRI: citalopram, escitalo SNRI: de Other: E | ppram, fluoxetine, fluvoxamine, p svenlafaxine, duloxetine, venlafa 3upropion, mirtazapine, vortioxet | aroxetine, sertraline kine ine | e Choic intera | e based on adverse effe actions and other consid | ects, cost, drug derations |
| 2 | | Smoking Cessation Ald | cohol use disorder ⁸ | ADHD | Pain | | | Migraine |
| Г | Central Nervous System | • Bupropion up to 300mg daily; 20 combine with NRT N | Abstinence: sertraline up to 00mg daily + Naltrexone INT=4. no change in mood ⁴⁰ | •Bupropion up to 450mg daily SMD -0.5 in ADHD scores ⁵² | Chronic Low Back Pain | Duloxetine 60-120mg d inconsistent (ADR NNH ² | aily ≥30%↓ pain ~6-12) ^{16,34} | Prophylaxis Venlafaxine up to 150mg daily small |
| | | (NNT=8) ⁴¹ • W da D | Nood: mirtazapine 45mg aily 50% reduction in HAM- and HAM-A scores ⁵⁵ | •Duloxetine up to 60mg daily improvement in ADHD scores (limited data) ⁹ | Osteoarthritis | Venlafaxine 150 - 225m and knee OA in 9/18 pa Duloxetine 00 -120mg only NNT=7 (ADR NNH: | ng↓30% pain in hip tients ⁴⁹ teity↓knee OA pain =17) ^{13,16,34} | RCT equivalent to TCA ^{10,39} • Duloxetine 60 to 120mg daily↓ number of |
| present | Cardiovascular | Heart Failure •Sertraline safe. No significant mood benefit ³⁷ | ACS •Escitalopram 5 -20mg dail over 8 years ²⁹ •Sertraline Safe. No signific underpowered for mood of | y↓ MACE (MI) post ACS NNI =8 cant CV benefits putcomes ²² | Diabetic Peripheral Neuropathy (PN) | Duloxetine 40-120mg d (ADR NNH@60mg =20; Venlafaxine 150-225mg (limited data) ^{18,32,44} Desvenlafaxine 200-400 depression dose) ³² | laily ↓ pain NNT=6 NNH@120mg=10) ^{32,34} g daily ↓ pain NNT=5 Omg daily (EXCEEDS | beadache days (linvited data) ⁵⁶ |
| Comorbidities | Gastrointestinal | Liver disease •Hep C + Interferon: SSRIs (citalopram up to 20mg daily; weak data) ³¹ | IBS (constipation and abdo •Paroxetine, fluoxetine, o global IBS symptoms over abdominal pain ³⁰ | ominal pain) citalopram NNT=5 -6 for ↓ 12 weeks, Non-Significant for | Fibromyalgia | Mirtazapine to 30mg d ↓ pain by ≥30% NNT=7- Duloxetine 60 -120mg d ADR NNH@60mg = 18 ; SSRI (citalopram, fluox pain NNT=10 (95% CI 5 Vaniation by the state of the stat | aily Connecting data. 8 vs ADR NNH=9 ^{32,53} daily ↓pain NNT=8 vs NNH@120mg=9 ^{32,34} etine, paroxetine) ↓ -100) ³² woofit (pap BCT) ³² | |
| - | Genitourinary | •Bupropion 150mg daily OR=3.2 (2.1–6.3) 'meaningful improvement'(NNT 2) ⁴⁵ | •Duloxetine QoL improver ↓ no. of episodes (ADR Ni | ment (SMD –0.13) NNT=8 and NH =7) ^{21,35} | Chemotherapy -Induced PN | Duloxetine 60mg daily placebo) (NNT=5) ⁴⁷ | ↓ pain (-0.73 vs | |
| | Renal | Non-Dialysis CKD •Sertraline to 200mg daily. no mood benefit. ↑ GI symptoms (NNH=8- 9) ²⁴ | Dialysis CKDESRD•Sertraline to 200mg daily. ↓ QIDS-C at 12 weeks vs.CBT (-1.84, p=0.035) ³⁶ •sert •mint | -associated Pruritis ⁷ raline (58% ↓pruritis score from ere to mild) ⁷ :azapine 15mg daily(Limited data) ^{7,20} | Adult ba | Depression An Ised on Efficac Tracy Chi | tidepressant y and Comor n, PharmD, ACPR | Treatment bidities |

Considerations in Antidepressant Decision Making

Tracy Chin, PharmD, ACPR

| | | - CYP inhibitors | (| | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| | Adverse Effects 12,46 | •CYP 2D6: <i>strong</i> : fluoxetine, paroxetine <i>moderate</i> : bupropion, duloxetine, | •2D6: vortioxetine, ver •2C19: citalopram, esc | | |
| Headache ⁵¹ | Most likely: bupropion, escitalopram Likely: SSRIs, SNRIs, vortioxetine Least likely: mirtazapine | •CYP3A4: moderate fluvoxamine, fluoxetine •CYP1A2: strong fluvoxamine | •1A2: duloxetine, fluvo •3A4: mirtazapine | | |
| Dysrhythmia ⁴³ and Blood Pressure ¹¹ | •QTc prolongation: citalopram, escitalopram, mirtazapine (caution if baseline QTc >450ms) •BP/HR changes: bupropion, SNRIs neutral: SSRI, vortioxetine, mirtazapine | •CYP2C19: strong: fluvoxamine moderate: fluoxetine | Monitor: combining a | | |
| Sedation | Most Sedating: mirtazapine (esp. at low doses) Possibly sedating: SSRI, SNRI, vortioxetine Activating: bupropion | Administration ² •NG/OG tubes: duloxetine, venlafaxine beads can clog smaller enteral tubes •ODT available: escitalopram, mirtazapine | | | |
| Gl Disturbances ³⁸ | Nausea/Vomiting: duloxetine, vortioxetine >, SNRIs>SSRIs> mirtazapine Constipation: SNRIs, paroxetine, sertraline > bupropion, vortioxetine > SSRIs Anorexia: SNRIs> SSRIs, vortioxetine | Liquid: fluoxetine, venlafaxine (compound – div dose BID) CRUSH-able: escitalopram, sertraline (open capsule), paroxetine IR, fluvoxamine, citalopram, fluoxetine, mirtazapine (throat numbness) DO NOT CRUSH: desvenlafaxine, bupropion ER/SR, | | | |
| Sexual Dysfunction ^{5,14,27,42,50} | Most likely: SSRI/ SNRIs (30-70%; all aspects) Likely: mirtazapine, vortioxetine Least likely: bupropion (may improve SSRI-induced dysfunction; SMD 1.60 vs placebo)⁴ | Cost ¹ | tine beads | | |
| Seizure risk ^{3,48} | •Most likely: bupropion (1/1000; dose-related) •Unlikely : SNRI, SSRI, mirtazapine. vortioxetine at therapeutic doses | Not covered* *by Govt of Alberta plans •Desvenlafaxine Covered •All SSRIs •SNRI: du | loxetine, | | |
| ↑ Weight ^{17,54} | Most likely: mirtazapine (+0.4 to +2.4 kg) citalopram (+0.1 to +7.1kg) Less Likely to Likely : SSRI , SNRI, vortioxetine Least likely: bupropion (-2.4kg to -0.4kg) | •Levomilnacipran •Vilazodone venlafaxi •Other: m bupropic | ne hirtazapine, on, Vortioxetine | | |
| Withdrawal Symptoms ^{15,25} | Most likely: paroxetine, venlafaxine, desvenlafaxine Likely: SNRI and SSRI Least likely: fluoxetine/ vortioxetine(?) Potentially None: mirtazanine, hupropion | • Patient's Preferen | ice | | |

Drug Interactions^{26,28}

CYP substrates

- ine, fluvoxamine, fluoxetine, mirtazapine, paroxetine
- oram
 - ne

Serotonin Syndrome:

pressants with opioids, dextromethorphan, lithium, etc

Pharmacokinetics

rption^{23,33}

- uctural GI changes (gastric bypass/short gut/ostomy)
- sorption erratic with ER medications (bupropion ER, oxetine, desvenlafaxine, venlafaxine)
- tiation: Avoid \rightarrow chose non-ER antidepressants
- bilized: Monitor and change if necessary

al Dosing⁶: GFR Max Starting dose • Bupropion 150mg/d (max daily dose) 60 Desvenlafaxine 50mg Q2days (eGFR<30 max daily:50mg Q2d) • Paroxetine 10mg/day • Escitalopram 10mg/day 30 • Sertraline 50mg/day (eGFR<15: 25mg/day) • Duloxetine 30mg/day • Venlafaxine 112.5mg/day (max daily dose) • Mirtazapine 15mg/day (max daily dose)

patic Dosing¹⁹:

1any agents ↑ T1/2 in hepatic impairment. Caution dosing and reductions may be necessary void: duloxetine, sertraline(?) in hepatic impairment

WHICH ANTIDEPRESSANT WOULD YOU PRESCRIBE FOR PL?

- Zoom poll
- A) Amitriptyline
- B) Mirtazapine
- C) Levomilnacipran
- D) Duloxetine
- E) No idea, offer her a pony



SUMMARY

- Many screening tools available and all have various types of utility
 - Pragmatic vs research
 - Validation of tools In specific comorbidities
- Superiority among antidepressants is controversial
- Role for individualized antidepressant therapy and shared decision making?
 - PETRUSHKA trial underway
 - Pilot Decision-support tool

"I'll have a shot of Serotonin with a Dopamine chaser, please." **QUESTIONS?**

THANK YOU!

• Email: Tracy.t.chin@albertahealthservices.ca



Photo from: https://madisonmountaineering.com/tag/summit-bid/

REFERENCES

- Cipriani A, Furukawa TA, Salanti G, Chaimani A, Atkinson LZ, Ogawa Y, et al. Comparative efficacy and acceptability of 21 antidepressant drugs for the acute treatment of adults with major depressive disorder: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. The Lancet. 2018 Apr;391(10128):1357–66.
- Depression Medication Choice Decision Aid [Internet]. [cited 2021 Jan 31]. Available from: https://depressiondecisionaid.mayoclinic.org/index
- Furukawa TA, Cipriani A, Atkinson LZ, Leucht S, Ogawa Y, Takeshima N, et al. Placebo response rates in antidepressant trials: a systematic review of published and unpublished double-blind randomised controlled studies. The Lancet Psychiatry. 2016 Nov 1;3(11):1059–66.
- Kato M, Hori H, Inoue T, Iga J, Iwata M, Inagaki T, et al. Discontinuation of antidepressants after remission with antidepressant medication in major depressive disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Mol Psychiatry. 2021 Jan;26(1):118–33.
- Kennedy SH, Lam RW, McIntyre RS, Tourjman SV, Bhat V, Blier P, et al. Canadian Network for Mood and Anxiety Treatments (CANMAT) 2016 Clinical Guidelines for the Management of Adults with Major Depressive Disorder: Section 3. Pharmacological Treatments. Can J Psychiatry. 2016 Sep;61(9):540–60.
- Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JBW. The PHQ-9. J Gen Intern Med. 2001 Sep; 16(9):606–13.
- Mohamed S, Johnson GR, Chen P, Hicks PB, Davis LL, Yoon J, et al. Effect of Antidepressant Switching vs Augmentation on Remission Among Patients With Major Depressive Disorder Unresponsive to Antidepressant Treatment: The VAST-D Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2017 Jul 11;318(2):132–45.
- LeBlanc A, Herrin J, Williams MD, Inselman JW, Branda ME, Shah ND, et al. Shared Decision Making for Antidepressants in Primary Care: A Cluster Randomized Trial. JAMA Intern Med. 2015 Nov 1;175(11):1761.
- Lewis G, Duffy L, Ades A, Amos R, Araya R, Brabyn S, et al. The clinical effectiveness of sertraline in primary care and the role of depression severity and duration (PANDA): a pragmatic, double-blind, placebo-controlled randomised trial. The Lancet Psychiatry. 2019 Nov;6(11):903–14.
- Li F, Nasir M, Olten B, Bloch MH. Meta-analysis of placebo group dropout in adult antidepressant trials. Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry. 2020 Mar;98:109777.
- Rush AJ, Trivedi MH, Wisniewski SR, Nierenberg AA, Stewart JW, Warden D, et al. Acute and longer-term outcomes in depressed outpatients requiring one or several treatment steps: a STAR*D report. Am J Psychiatry. 2006 Nov;163(11):1905–17.
- Salanti G, Chaimani A, Furukawa TA, Higgins JPT, Ogawa Y, Cipriani A, et al. Impact of placebo arms on outcomes in antidepressant trials: systematic review and metaregression analysis. International Journal of Epidemiology. 2018 Oct 1;47(5):1454–64
- Zhou X, Ravindran AV, Qin B, Giovane CD, Li Q, Bauer M, et al. Comparative Efficacy, Acceptability, and Tolerability of Augmentation Agents in Treatment-Resistant Depression: Systematic Review and Network Meta-Analysis. J Clin Psychiatry. 2015 Apr 22;76(4):487–98.

REVIEW: MONOAMINE HYPOTHESIS



WHAT IF THE AGENT YOU CHOOSE DOESN'T WORK?



INADEQUATE RESPONSE: TO ADD OR TO SWITCH

| Switch Antidepressants | Add Adjunctive Therapies |
|---|--|
| First antidepressant trial | 2 or more antidepressant trials |
| Poorly tolerated side effects | Initial antidepressant is well tolerated |
| • No response to antidepressant in 2-4 weeks at therapeutic dose | Partial response (>25% improvement) to initial antidepressant |
| Less severe (time to wait for response while switching) | Specific residual symptoms or side effects that can be targeted |
| Patient preference | More severe (less time to wait for response from switching) |
| | Patient preference |

STAR*D RESULTS

| | | Remission Rate (% difference in QIDS-SR 16 at entry and exit) | Weeks to remission | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|---|--------------------|--|
| Step I -Citalopram only | | 36.8 (vs step 2; P<0.001) | 6.3 | |
| Step 2 | | 30.6 (vs step 3; P<0.001) | 5.4 | |
| Switch: | | | | |
| -bupropion -sertraline | -venlafaxine -CBT | 27 | 5.4 | |
| Augmentation : | | | | |
| -bupropion -buspirone | -CBT | 35.0 | 5.5 | |
| Step 3 | | 13.7 | 5.6 | |
| Switch | | | | |
| -nortriptyline | -mirtazapine | 10.7 | 6 | |
| Augmentation | | 20 E | ΕC | |
| -lithium | -liothyronine | 20.5 | 5.5 | |
| Step 4 | | 13 | 7.4 | |

VAST-D

| Adult Veterans Health Administration patients with an MDD diagnosis (DSM-IV-TR) referred by clinicia suboptimal response to a treatment SSRI, SNRI, or mirtazapine (≥3 weeks stable "optimal" dose) N=1522 Mean age 54.4 years, 85.2% men Switch to bupropion SR (up to 200mg BID) (N = =511) |
|---|
| Switch to bupropion SR (up to 200mg BID) (N $= =511$) |
| |
| Augment current therapy with bupropion (up to 200mg BID) N=506 Augment current therapy with aripiprazole (up to 15mg po daily) N_{AAug}=505 |
| $O \qquad \qquad$ |
| CommentFunded by Veterans affairs and aripiprazole provided by Bristol-Myers Squibb PTSD ~45-50% of patients Small to moderate effect size with augmentation of aripiprazole vs adverse effects? |

ADJUNCTIVE THERAPIES

| Agent Class | | Daily Dose | | |
|--|------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| First Line | | | | |
| Aripiprazole | Atypical antipsychotic | 2-15mg | | |
| Quetiapine | Atypical antipsychotic | 150-300mg | | |
| Risperidone | Atypical antipsychotic | I-3mg | | |
| Second Line | | | | |
| Brexpiprazole | Atypical antipsychotic | I-3mg | | |
| Bupropion | antidepressant | 150-300mg | | |
| lithium | Mood stabilizer | 600-1200mg (therapeutic levels) | | |
| Mirtazapine | Antidepressant | 30-60mg | | |
| Modafinil | CNS stimulant | 100-400mg | | |
| Olanzapine | Atypical antipsychotic | 2.5-10mg | | |
| liothyronine | Thyroid replacement | 25-50mcg | | |
| Third Line | | | | |
| Stimulants, other antidepressants, Ziprasidone | | | | |
| Tri-cyclic antidepressants | | | | |

COMPARATIVE EFFICACY, ACCEPTABILITY, AND TOLERABILITY OF AUGMENTATION AGENTS IN TREATMENT-RESISTANT DEPRESSION

| | Network meta-analysis of published RCTs (included 48 trials) | | |
|----------|---|--|--|
| Р | adult patients with treatment-resistant depression(n=6,654 participants) | | |
| I | aripiprazole, bupropion, buspirone, lamotrigine, lithium, methylphenidate, olanzapine, pindolol, quetiapine, risperidone, and thyroid hormone | | |
| С | Each other and placebo | | |
| Ο | Primary outcome: 50% reduction or more on depression scale in study (vs. placebo) $quetiapine (OR = 1.92; 95% Cl, 1.39-3.13)*$ $aripiprazole (OR = 1.85; 95% Cl, 1.27-2.27)*$ thyroid hormone (OR = 1.84; 95% Cl, 1.06-3.56)lithium (OR = 1.56; 95% Cl, 1.05-2.55) Tolerability (vs. placebo): quetiapine (OR = 3.85; 95% Cl, 1.92-8.33)olanzapine (OR = 3.36; 95% Cl, 1.60-8.61)aripiprazole (OR = 2.51; 95% Cl, 1.11-7.69)lithium (OR = 2.30; 95% Cl, 1.04-6.03) | | |
| Comments | Quality of studies overall moderate to poor. Limited head to head comparisons | | |

* Quetiapine and aripiprazole more robust than thyroid hormone and lithium

MIRTAZAPINE STUDIES

- RCT (n = 60), mirtazapine combined with paroxetine showed good tolerance and significantly better response compared with high doses of either agent alone (<u>Debonnel 2000</u>)
- An open-label study (n = 20) followed by a small RCT (n = 26) of mirtazapine 15–30 mg in combination with other antidepressants (including SSRIs) at nearmaximum doses revealed a significant response and good tolerance (Carpenter, 2002).
- Pragmatic study mirtazapine vs placebo = No difference (Kessler, 2018)

Debonnel, G, Gobbi, G, Turcotte, J et al (2000) Effects of mirtazapine, paroxetine and their combination: a double-blind study in major depression. *European Neuropsychopharmacology*; 10: 252

Carpenter, LL, Yasmin, S, Price, LH (2002) A double-blind, placebo-controlled study of antidepressant augmentation with mirtazapine. *Biological Psychiatry*; 51: 183–8.

Mirtazapine added to SSRIs or SNRIs for treatment resistant depression in primary care: phase III randomised placebo controlled trial (MIR) *Kessler et al BMJ* 2018; 363 doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.k4218</u>

WHATS NEXT?



This Photo by Unknown Author is licensed under CC BY-SA-NC

- Piloting tool in practice to assess utility and impact in antidepressant decision-making
- Making tool electronic \rightarrow app?
- Expanding information
 - Include: special populations, adjunctive medications, switching antidepressants, second-line therapies
- Study on patient satisfaction and engagement in depressant treatment before and after tool
- Development of Patient education tools ie) infographics on treatment expectations

REVIEW: MONOAMINE HYPOTHESIS



LEVOMILNACIPRAN

Mechanism of Action:

• S**N**RI

Indication:

• Major Depressive Disorder (2nd line-CANMAT)

Common Adverse Reactions:

• nausea/vomiting, constipation, hyperhidrosis, <u>tachycardia/ palpitations, HR increased</u>, erectile dysfunction/ ejaculation disorder

Features that set it apart:

• more norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor vs other SNRIs, low potential for drug interactions

VORTIOXETINE

Mechanism of action:

- Multi-modal (SRI; 5HT $_{\rm IA}$ agonist; 5HT $_{\rm IB}$ partial agonist; 5HT $_{\rm ID}$,5HT $_{3}$, and 5HT $_{7}$ antagonist)

Indication:

• Major Depressive Disorder (Ist line-CANMAT)

Common Adverse reactions:

• Nausea, diarrhea, dry mouth, dizziness, constipation, vomiting

Features that set it apart:

• less sexual side effects, improve cognitive function?, first line in CANMAT, studied in elderly

UPDATED THEORIES OF DEPRESSION

- Neurotrophic Hypothesis
 - Depression is a result from disruption in synaptic plasticity
 - Antidepressants, electroconvulsive therapy may improve synaptic plasticity
- Abnormalities in glutamatergic signaling
 - Ketamine, an NMDA receptor antagonist, demonstrated effectiveness in treating antidepressant-resistant patients rapidly and reduced suicidal ideation

ESKETAMINE

Mechanism of action:

• NMDA receptor antagonist and AMPA receptor agonist

Dosing :

- Induction: intranasally twice weekly for 4 weeks. Maintenance: Intranasally once weekly or once every 2 weeks
- Mandatory: administered with direct medical supervision and 2 hr monitoring

Kinetics:

• Half-life elimination: 7 to 12 hours (Time to peak:20 to 40 minutes)

Common Adverse Effects (1-4 hours post dose):

• nausea/vomiting, dizziness, sedation, dissociation, headache, increase in BP, hypoesthesia.

Cost

• \$\$\$\$\$

Features that set it apart

treatment resistant depression + antidepressant, intranasal administration, less frequent administration,
 ? decreasing suicidality,? Long term cognitive changes