

Institute for Safe Medication Practices Canada Institut pour la sécurité des médicaments aux patients du Canada

Medication Safety Culture Indicator Matrix (MedSCIM): Going Beyond the Numbers and Using Incident Reports to Assess Medication Safety Culture

Calvin Poon, RPh, BScPhm, ACPR, CDE; Atsushi Kawano, RPh, BSc, MSc, BScPhm, PharmD Candidate; Roger Cheng, RPh, BScPhm, PharmD Candidate; Lindsay Yoo, RPh, BSc, MSc, BScPhm, MISt, MEd

Objectives

- To develop an innovative strategy and an analytic framework by leveraging medication incidents as a data source to assess safety culture through a qualitative approach.
- Based on available patient safety studies, a safety culture maturity model was developed as a framework to guide patient safety improvements and to assess safety culture in healthcare settings.

Methodology

- Two independent analysts conducted a qualitative analysis examining 200 medication incidents from two ISMP Canada incident reporting databases.
- Themes that were suggestive of a positive safety culture were identified and subsequently led to the development of an analytic framework.
- Medication Safety Culture Indicator Matrix (MedSCIM) was consolidated and validated by obtaining input from an inter-professional patient safety expert panel, consisting of a physician, a registered nurse, and a pharmacy technician.

FIGURE 1.

Maturity in understanding medication safety: Increasing level of positive safety culture²



Results

Medication Safety Culture Indicator Matrix (MedSCIM)

MedSCIM is a 3x4 matrix (Table 1) that uses qualitative analysis to assess a medication incident on two dimensions:

(1) Core Event: Degree of Documentation

(2) Maturity of Culture to Medication Safety (Figure 1)

Each medication incident is assigned a cumulative safety culture level based on the above two indices, which reflect the overall safety culture level (Table 3). Through qualitative analysis methodology, MedSCIM evaluates medication safety culture by assessing the overall quality of medication incident reports.

MedSCIM provides an alternative method of assessing medication safety culture within an organization. It allows for a more comprehensive picture of the current state of medication safety culture in an area of interest or an institution.

TABLE 1.

Medication Safety Culture Indicator Matrix (MedSCIM): Medication safety culture defined by colours with red as a negative, yellow as neutral, and green as a positive safety culture.

		Maturity of Culture to Medication Safety				
		Grade D: Blame and Shame	Grade C: Reactive	Grade B: Calculative	Grade A: Generative	
Core Event	Level 1: Report fully complete	1D	1C	1B	1A	
	Level 2: Report semi-complete	2D	2C	2B	2A	
	Level 3: Report not complete	3D	3C	3B	3A	

ISMP Canada would like to acknowledge support from the Canadian Society of Hospital Pharmacists (CSHP) Foundation 2012 Education Grant for the development of the Medication Safety Culture Indicator Matrix (MedSCIM). The authors would like to acknowledge the following reviewers and members of the patient safety expert panel at ISMP Canada - Dr. Michael Hamilton, BSc, BEd, MD, MPH, Ms. Carol Lee, CHIM, Ms. Lynn Riley, RN, and Mr. Boris Tong, RPh, BScPhm.

• Describes a medication incident based on its narrative integrity and completeness of documentation to allow sufficient interpretation and understanding of the event • Assigns the medication incident with a numeric score of 1 to 3 (Table 2)

 Analyzes the medication incident report based on the reporter's view of patient safety concepts and principles, the perceived attitude towards patient safety, and understanding of system-based solutions Assigns the medication incident with a ranking system of A to D (Table 2)

MedSCIM Continuing Education Workshop

The principles of the MedSCIM were taught through an educational workshop offered by ISMP Canada, titled, "Going beyond the numbers: Using incident reports to assess medication safety culture", where participants were encouraged to go beyond the conventional limits of analyzing medication incidents in the typical quantitative approach. Participants had the option of bringing de-identified medication incidents from their own practice to apply the MedSCIM framework and gained hands-on experience.

Limitations

- institutions.

Conclusion

 MedSCIM is a recently developed safety culture assessment framework that requires further research for validation in various practice settings. • MedSCIM is susceptible to sampling bias secondary to low reporting rates and the voluntary nature of incident reporting in most healthcare

 MedSCIM is an innovative framework that uses medication incidents to evaluate and gain insights into the medication safety culture of a healthcare setting.

 An ISMP Canada educational workshop with interactive components that enables application of the MedSCIM framework was developed to share this knowledge with healthcare providers.

• To promote patient safety, more resources must be available to better understand and measure patient safety culture. MedSCIM offers a novel approach to understand safety culture through the lens of medication incident reporting and analysis.

References

- 1. Westrum RA. A typology of organizational cultures. *Qual Saf Health Care* 2004;13(Suppl 2):ii22-7.
- 2. Ashcroft DM, Morecroft D, Parker D, Noyce PR. Safety culture assessment in community pharmacy: development, face validity, and feasibility of the Manchester Patient Safety Assessment Framework. Qual Saf Health Care 2005;14(6):417-21.
- 8. Murff HJ, Patel VL, Hripcsak G, Bates DW. Detecting adverse events for patient safety research: a review of current methodologies. *J Biomed Inform* 2003;36(1-2):131-43.

TABLE 2.

TABLE 3. Examples of Medication Incidents Scored on MedSCIM

Low

Case 9 tab staff

Mec

Case senc

Hig

Case Example: The patient identified methylphenidate 54 mg was given instead of the usual 36 mg. The pharmacy recognized the DINs were very similar (02247733 and 02247734) and only differed by one digit. The staff believed as the prescription was being checked, the single digit difference was overlooked. To prevent these types of errors, the staffs will not place two medications side-by-side where the DINs vary by only one digit.

Disclosures

CMIRPS ****** SCDPIM

Definition for MedSCIM Dimensions and Outcomes

SCIM INDEX	OUTCOME	DEFINITION	
ent	Level 1: Report fully complete	The medication incident provides sufficient information to describe the medication incident and contributing factors.	
Core Ev	Level 2: Report semi-complete	The medication incident provides sufficient information to describe the medication incident. No information is provided about contributing factors.	
	Level 3: Report not complete	The medication incident provides insufficient information to allow meaningful qualitative analysis.	
ety etal. ²)	Grade A: Generative	The medication incident uses a systems-based approach to describe the root cause and develop possible solutions to prevent future recurrence.	
ot Cultu i on Saf of Ashcroft	Grade B: Calculative	The medication incident uses a systems-based approach to describe the root cause. No solutions are offered to prevent future recurrence.	
aturity a Medicat odification	Grade C: Reactive	The medication incident is treated as an isolated incident. No solutions are offered to prevent future recurrence.	
Z	Grade D: Blame and Shame	The medication incident focuses on human behaviours instead of a systems-based approach.	

ty Culture	MedSCIM Rating	Core Event	Maturity				
<i>ı</i> (1)	2D	Level 2 (Report semi-complete)	Grade D (Blame and Shame)				
Example: A patient's daughter called the pharmacy and claimed the pharmacy shorted the patient plets of clopidogrel. The technician who counted the prescription was informed of the error and all other s were told counting is to be done correctly.							
dium (2)	2C	Level 2 (Report semi-complete)	Grade C (Reactive)				
dium (2) • Example: The wr l 0.5 mg tablets bu	2C ong dose of hydrom t 1 mg tablets were s	Level 2 (Report semi-complete) orphone was sent from the phar sent.	Grade C (Reactive) macy. The pharmacy was supposed to				

Jh (3)	1 A	Level 1 (Report fully complete)	Grade A (Generative)

Authors of this poster have the following to disclose concerning possible personal or financial relationships with commercial entities that may have a direct or indirect interest in the subject matter of this presentation: Calvin Poon – Nothing to disclose, Atsushi Kawano – Nothing to disclose, Lindsay Yoo – Nothing to disclose, Roger Cheng – Nothing to disclose, Certina Ho – Nothing to disclose