The Role of Clinical Informatics in Improving the Assessment of Venous Thromboembolism Risk and Prophylaxis Vaishali Sengar, Brenda Cardiff, Vera Dounaevskaia, Heather Kertland, Karen Ng, Rosemary Tanzini, Chris Hayes

BACKGROUND

- There is significant morbidity and mortality associated with hospital acquired venous thromboembolism (VTE), which is preventable
- Documentation of VTE risk assessment is a Required Organizational Practice (ROP) by Accreditation Canada
- A VTE Quality Initiative working group was formed to address gaps in clinical assessment and appropriate treatment

DEVELOPMENT/IMPLEMENTATION

- A VTE guideline was developed and approved by the SMH Pharmacy and **Therapeutics Committee**
- A corporate wide VTE paper order set was developed and implemented
- Computerized Provider Order Entry (CPOE) implementation began in March 2010
- An electronic order set was developed which included documentation of assessment in addition to order for pharmacological and non-pharmacological therapy

Figure 1: Paper set



Figure 2: CPOE set



Metric Development

- Clinical informatics, with their understanding of ordering routine and availability of data created indictors/metric
- The metric was refined with input from clinicians to ensure validity in our clinical context

Final metric:

- Percentage of patients with evidence of assessment within 24 hours of admission
 - \blacktriangleright length of stay (LOS) > 2 days
 - no ICU stay
 - admitted to a unit with CPOE
- Metrics were reported to clinical services and administration on a quarterly basis

CLINICAL INFORMATICS PDSA INTERVENTIONS

Intervention A:

<u>Plan</u>: Found several low performing services missing the VTE section Add VTE Section to all Admission Order Sets <u>Do</u>: Study: Change in assessment rate post addition Not at goal – identify next step Act:

Intervention B:

<u>Plan</u> :	Found that
	services
<u>Do</u> :	Expand V
<u>Study</u> :	Change ir
<u>Act</u> :	Not at goa

ACE Inhibitors
Angiotensin II Receptor Blo
Digoxin
Nitrates
Aspirin
Oral Anticoagulants
Venous Thromboembolism (
Bowel Routine/Managemen
Other Medications

Intervention C:

<u>Plan</u> :	Many pat
<u>Do</u> :	Add VTE
	section
Study:	Change in
<u>Act</u> :	Will asses

Figure 4: Intervention C - VTE Prompt Added to ADT Section

Common	Patient Based
All	Meds La
Favorites	
Activity & L	imitations
Admission/I	Discharge/Tran
Assessmen	ts & Monitoring

at VTE section collapsed in order sets for low performing

/TE section to prompt utilization of order set n assessment rate post expanding VTE section al – identify next step

Figure 3: Intervention B - VTE Section Expanded



tients admitted not using admission order sets order set to stand alone "Admit, Discharge, Transfer (ADT)"

in assessment rate for those admitted without an order set ess with next quarter's data



RESULTS



CORPORATELY DISTRIBUTED DATA





St. Michael's

Inspired Care. **Inspiring Science.**

CORPORATELY DISTRIBUTED DATA



NEXT STEPS

- Ongoing Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA) cycles forcing assessment
- Critical Care areas going live with CPOE Spring 2014
- Assessment of appropriateness of prophylaxis by algorithms in the order sets
- Analysis of appropriateness of pharmacological and non-pharmacological by service

Q2 FY2013-14 Service Level VTE Order Breakdown									
Service	Eligible Pts	24 hr Prophylaxis Assessment Rate	% of Pt without evidence of Assessment during hospitalization	% of Prophylaxis via MEDS	% of Prophylaxis via TED/Compression Device	% of VTE Prophylaxis not required; low risk	% of VTE Prophylaxis not required; patient receiving anticoag therapy	24 hr Prophylaxis Assessment Rate Q1 FY 2013-14	
Service A	144	87%	10%	60%	0%	3%	37%	74%	
Service B	94	81%	1%	84%	0%	7%	9%	69%	
Service C	69	80%	14%	76%	0%	20%	4%	68%	
Service D	674	85%	11%	76%	3%	14%	7%	89%	
Service E	82	99%	1%	99%	0%	1%	0%	96%	
Service F	114	92%	4%	98%	0%	0%	2%	98%	
Service G	7	86%	14%	83%	17%	0%	0%	67%	
Service H	32	72%	19%	96%	0%	4%	0%	83%	
Service I	76	89%	9%	78%	6%	9%	7%	93%	
Service J	4	25%	50%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%	
Service K	88	80%	10%	84%	3%	4%	9%	80%	
Service L	24	46%	54%	91%	9%	0%	0%	83%	
Service M	186	40%	16%	23%	54%	19%	0%	47%	
Service N	5	60%	40%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	
Service U	351	94%	3%	94%	1%	4%	1%	96%	
Service P	2	50%	50%	0%	0%	100%	0%	50%	
Service Q	11	64%	36%	0%	29%	71%	0%	74%	
Service K	63	83%	14%	23%	0%	69%	6%	84%	
Service S	53	91%	2%	92%	4%	4%	0%	93%	
Service I	49	71%	27%	86%	0%	11%	3%	83%	
Service U	58	97%	0%	89%	0%	4%	7%	95%	

ROLE OF CLINICAL INFORMATICS

- Data + Interpretation = Informed Decisions
- Informed Decisions x Appropriate Action = Positive Outcomes
- Better Outcomes = Improved Patient Care
- Improving Patient Care with this formula = **Clinical Informatics at its Best**

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

SMH Clinical Informatics Leadership & team, SMH Decision Support